

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,151	11/17/2003	Kazumitsu Kushida	14470.0019US01	5298
23552	7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	c <b>h</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/716,151	KUSHIDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
	Davetta W. Goins	2632		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign (a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer	Application No	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/04</u>.</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,725,538 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed transparent light guide plate having a first major surface and second major surface in which a light guide plate is positioned to face the driver in front of a sight line of the driver and that the light guide plate tilts rearward while a light entrance end plane comes at the bottom and a light emission end plane comes at the top; and at least one luminous element placed on the entrance end plane of the light guide plate is broader terminology that is inherent to the claimed head-up display in of U.S. Patent No. 6,725,538 B2 that details that a display for the driver includes a visual field for a driver including a pattern extending in a horizontal direction, wherein a width of the image in the horizontal direction is determined so that an angle formed between two lines extending from a point in a central field to both ends of the image becomes at least twenty degrees.

Art Unit: 2632

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi et al. (US Pat 6,469,755).

In reference to claims 9-12, Adachi discloses the claimed display for guiding light to an emission end plane and emits the light, the light being incident from an entrance end plane, wherein the emission end plane of the light guide plate includes a plurality of planes having different inclination angles, which is met by light guide 2302 is comprised of a transparent acrylic resin, has a structure to confine the incidence light which enters from one end thereof by total reflection, and is provided with an inclined reflector including small inclined reflecting planes, such as plural irregularities or corrugated planes on its backward surface (opposite side to the liquid crystal element) for changing the angles of reflection of propagating rays therein to collimate or render them parallel at least in one direction and emit the collimated rays of light toward liquid crystal display element 2200. Although Adachi does not specifically disclose the claimed display for a vehicle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that it is well known in the art to use liquid crystal displays, such as

Art Unit: 2632

that disclosed by Acachi, as a means for display as part of the instrument panel to be viewed by the driver.

Page 4

- 5. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure as follows. Iino et al. (US Pat. 5,261,349) and Anders (US Pat. 6,200,010 B1), and Aoki et al. (US Pat. 6,580,562 B2), which disclose display devices for vehicles.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davetta W. Goins whose telephone number is 571-272-2957.

  The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2632

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632

Downto W Low

March 18, 2005